

Computing representation matrices for the action of Frobenius to cohomology groups

Momonari Kudo*

April 27, 2017

Abstract

This paper is concerned with the computation of representation matrices for the action of Frobenius to the cohomology groups of algebraic varieties. Specifically we shall give an algorithm to compute the matrices for *arbitrary* algebraic varieties with defining equations over perfect fields of positive characteristic, and estimate its complexity. Moreover, we propose a specific efficient method, which works for complete intersections.

1 Introduction

Let K be a perfect field of positive characteristic p . For a positive integer r , let $\mathbf{P}^r = \text{Proj}(S)$ denote the projective r -space, where $S = K[x_0, \dots, x_r]$ is the polynomial ring of $(r+1)$ indeterminates over K . Given a scheme $X \subset \mathbf{P}^r$ and $q \in \mathbb{Z}$, we denote by $\mathcal{O}_X$ and $H^q(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ its structure sheaf and its q -th cohomology group, respectively. Let F be the absolute Frobenius on X . It is significant to compute the action of Frobenius to the cohomology groups, denoted by $F^{*,q}$ for the q -th cohomology group, since algebraic varieties defined over K can be classified by investigating $F^{*,q}$. For example, a non-singular curve is *superspecial* if and only if the Frobenius on the 1st cohomology group is zero. Specifically the representation matrix of $F^{*,1}$ for a curve is said to be its *Hasse-Witt matrix*. Given a curve with explicit defining equations, computing $F^{*,1}$ allows us to determine its superspecialty. Thus the computation of $F^{*,q}$ is one of the most crucial topics in computational algebraic geometry.

In cases of curves, i.e., one-dimensional algebraic varieties, there are many previous works for computing $F^{*,1}$, see e.g., [2], [12], [14], [17], [22] and [26]. We here briefly review results on computation methods only for elliptic curves and hyperelliptic curves.

First, for the case of elliptic curves, there is a well-known and standard method to compute $F^{*,1}$. Let E be an elliptic curve in $\mathbf{P}^2$ defined by a cubic form $f \in K[x, y, z]$, and F the absolute Frobenius on E . The 1st cohomology group $H^1(E, \mathcal{O}_E)$ has a basis $\{x^{-1}y^{-1}z^{-1}\}$, and thus the Frobenius action $F^{*,1} : H^1(E, \mathcal{O}_E) \rightarrow H^1(E, \mathcal{O}_E)$ sends the basis element to $f^{p-1} \cdot (x^{-1}y^{-1}z^{-1})^p$. One can determine whether $F^{*,1} = 0$ or not by computing the values of coefficients in f^{p-1} , see [13, Chapter IV] for more details.

Second, for the case of hyperelliptic curves, in [22] or in the proof of [26, Proposition 2.1], one has an explicit method to get the representation matrix of $F^{*,1}$ when a hyperelliptic curve is given as an affine model $y^2 = f(x)$. In this case, $F^{*,1}$ is determined by computing the values of coefficients in

*Graduate School of Mathematics, Kyushu University. E-mail: m-kudo@math.kyushu-u.ac.jp

$f^{(p-1)/2}$, where p is an odd prime. Based on this method, several algorithms and their improvements to compute $F^{*,1}$ for hyperelliptic curves have been proposed, see e.g., [2], [14], and [17].

While several algorithms for specific cases have been published as above, no general-purpose explicit algorithm, which works for *arbitrary* algebraic varieties (of dimension ≥ 1) with defining equations, has been proposed and precisely analyzed yet. (cf. In [18, Section 5], a basic framework is proposed, but neither written as a concrete algorithm nor precisely analyzed.) This is because representing elements of $H^q(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ depends on one's specific choice of an open covering for X . In this paper, we give two algorithms for computing $F^{*,q}$ with $1 \leq q \leq r$ based on known theories in algebraic geometry and commutative algebra. More concretely, we propose an algorithm (Algorithm (I)) for arbitrary varieties, and an algorithm (Algorithm (II)) for complete intersections. Our main theorem for Algorithm (I) is the following:

Theorem 1. *With notation as above, we fix r the dimension of $\mathbf{P}^r$. Given $1 \leq q \leq r$, characteristic p and an algebraic variety $X \subset \mathbf{P}^r = \text{Proj}(K[x_0, \dots, x_r])$ with defining homogeneous polynomials $f_1, \dots, f_t \in S = K[x_0, \dots, x_r]$, there exists an algorithm (Algorithm (I)) for computing the rank of $F^{*,q} : H^q(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \rightarrow H^q(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ such that it terminates in*

$$O(D^4 + \alpha^2 D^2 \log(p)) \quad (1.0.1)$$

arithmetic operations over K , under some assumptions. Here D is a certain invariant for X , and α depends on lifting morphisms between free resolutions for $S/\langle f_1, \dots, f_t \rangle$ and $S/\langle f_1^p, \dots, f_t^p \rangle$.

Algorithm (I) is based upon the local cohomology-based method to compute the sheaf cohomology ([9], [18] and [24]), and roughly divided into the following two steps: (*Step A*) Compute (graded minimal) free resolutions and lifting morphisms. (*Step B*) Compute the basis of $H^q(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$, and the representation matrix of $F^{*,q}$. For computing free resolutions, we shall apply Kunz's theorem [21] in our algorithm, which reduces total time for the computation, and allows us a reasonable assumption to analyze its complexity.

For complete intersections, one can simplify Algorithm (I). As stated in the following theorem, the simplified algorithm (Algorithm (II)) has a more precise evaluation on the complexity:

Theorem 2. *With notation as above, we fix r the dimension of $\mathbf{P}^r$. Let $S = K[x_0, \dots, x_r]$, and $X = V(f_1, \dots, f_t)$ a complete intersection in $\mathbf{P}^r$ with an S -regular sequence $(f_1, \dots, f_t) \in S^t$. Assume $d_{j_1 \dots j_{t-1}} := \sum_{k=1}^{t-1} \deg(f_{j_k}) \leq r$ for all $1 \leq j_1 < \dots < j_{t-1} \leq t$ and $\gcd(f_i, f_j) = 1$ in S for $i \neq j$. Given the characteristic p and $(f_1, \dots, f_t)$, there exists an algorithm (Algorithm (II)) for computing the rank of $F^{*,q} : H^q(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \rightarrow H^q(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ with $q = \dim(X) = r - t$ such that it terminates in*

$$O(tM(p-1)) \quad (1.0.2)$$

arithmetic operations over S , where $M(m)$ denotes the cost for computing the m -th power in S .

Since the strategy for Algorithm (II) is theoretically the same as that for Algorithm (I), Algorithm (II) can be viewed as a specific case of Algorithm (I). By contrast, Algorithm (II) has a computationally simplified and clarified structure, which makes the computation more efficient: In practice Algorithm (II) computes neither a free resolution nor a lifting homomorphism. Specifically, for a given complete intersection $X = V(f_1, \dots, f_t)$ defined by an S -regular sequence $(f_1, \dots, f_t) \in S^t$ with certain conditions, we show that the rank of $F^{*,q} : H^q(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \rightarrow H^q(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ is completely determined by coefficients in $(f_1 \dots f_t)^{p-1}$, where $q = \dim(X) = r - t$. We prove this fact by constructing *Koszul complex of graded free modules* and certain special lifting homomorphisms. Thanks

to this fact, one also obtains a more precise evaluation on the complexity. We also note that this simple method is viewed as a generalization of a standard method to compute $F^{*,q}$ for an elliptic curve in $\mathbf{P}^2$ (or a hypersurface in $\mathbf{P}^r$) with $q = \dim(X) = r - 1$.

We demonstrate the correctness of our algorithms by computing some examples, one of which is $X_0(23)$, the (classical) modular curve of level 23. Our computational results for $X_0(23)$ coincide with theoretical results computed by Yui's method [26]. We also examine efficiency of Algorithm (I). As stated in Theorem 1, under some assumptions, Algorithm (I) is proved to be performed in polynomial time with respect to D , α and p . In particular, Algorithm (I) terminates in $O(\alpha^2 \log(p))$ when D is fixed. For $X_0(23)$ with $D = 7$, we confirm, with our implementation over Magma [1], [4], that Algorithm (I) performs in the estimated upper bound (1.0.1).

With our algorithms and computational examples, one can compute representation matrices for the Frobenius action algorithmically for more general objects, which shall provide further theoretical/computational results. (For instance, the author and Harashita already obtained theoretically new results on superspecial curves of genus 4 by applying our algorithm for complete intersections, see [19] and [20].)

The rest of this paper is organized as follows: Section 2 is devoted to a brief review of a basic idea to compute representation matrices for the Frobenius action to the cohomology groups of algebraic varieties. In addition, we review some known facts on the Frobenius functor for free modules, which are useful to make our (first) algorithm in Section 3 efficient and to evaluate its complexity. In Section 3, we prove Theorem 1; we present an explicit algorithm to compute representation matrices for the action of Frobenius for general algebraic varieties, and estimate its complexity. In Section 4, we prove Theorem 2; we give a new computational method for a complete intersection as a simplified version of our (first) algorithm proposed in Section 3. Section 5 shows computational examples and experimental results obtained from our implementation over Magma. In Section 6, we give some concluding remarks.

Acknowledgments

The author thanks Allan Steel for helpful advice and discussion on computing lifting homomorphisms, and giving an efficient implementation of the division algorithm over free modules. The author is also grateful Janko Boehm, Wolfram Decker, Shushi Harashita, and Gerhart Pfister for helpful advice and discussion on computing free resolutions for S/I_p .

Notation Given a graded module M and an integer ℓ , we denote by $M(\ell)$ its ℓ -twist given by $M(\ell)_t = M_{\ell+t}$. In this paper, a homomorphism of graded S -modules means a graded homomorphism of degree zero of graded S -modules. Specifically we say that a graded homomorphism

$$\varphi : \bigoplus_{j=1}^t S(-d_j) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{j=1}^{t'} S(-d'_j) ; \mathbf{v} \mapsto \mathbf{v}A$$

is of degree zero if each (k, ℓ) -entry $g_{k,\ell} \in S$ of the representation matrix $A = (g_{k,\ell})_{k,\ell}$ is homogeneous of degree $d_k - d'_\ell$.

2 Preliminaries

In this section, we review a basic idea to compute representation matrices for the action of Frobenius to the cohomology groups of algebraic varieties. The idea is given in [18, Chapter 5].

2.1 Frobenius morphisms on schemes

Definition 2.1.1 (Absolute Frobenius). Let X be a scheme over $\mathbb{F}_q$, and $X \rightarrow \text{Spec}(\mathbb{F}_q)$ its structure morphism. The *absolute Frobenius* on X is a morphism $F_{\text{abs}} : X \rightarrow X$ with the identity map on X and $a \mapsto a^p$ on sections.

Definition 2.1.2 (Relative Frobenius). Let X be a scheme over $\mathbb{F}_q$, and $X \rightarrow \text{Spec}(\mathbb{F}_q)$ its structure morphism. We define $X^{(p)}$ as the fiber product, and the *relative Frobenius* on X as the induced morphism $F_X : X \rightarrow X^{(p)}$ in the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 X & & & & \\
 & \searrow & & \nearrow & \\
 & & X^{(p)} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & X \\
 & \searrow & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 & & \text{Spec}(\mathbb{F}_q) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \text{Spec}(\mathbb{F}_q)
 \end{array}$$

(Note: The diagram shows a curved arrow labeled F_{abs} from X to X , and a curved arrow from X to $X^{(p)}$.)

2.2 Our strategy to compute the Frobenius action

Recall from Section 1 that K is a perfect field of characteristic p . Given homogeneous polynomials $f_1, \dots, f_t \in S = K[x_0, \dots, x_r]$, we denote by $V(f_1, \dots, f_t)$ the locus of the zeros in the projective r -space $\mathbf{P}^r = \text{Proj}(S)$ of the system of $f_1, \dots, f_t$. Put $X := V(f_1, \dots, f_t)$. Let $F := F_{\text{abs}}$ denote the absolute Frobenius on X . Let $X^{(p)}$ be the scheme given in Definition 2.1.2, and $F_X : X \rightarrow X^{(p)}$ the relative Frobenius. The absolute (resp. relative) Frobenius induces the map $F^{*,i} : H^i(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \rightarrow H^i(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ (resp. $(F_X)^{*,i} : H^i(X^{(p)}, \mathcal{O}_{X^{(p)}}) \rightarrow H^i(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$). Computing $F^{*,i}$ or $(F_X)^{*,i}$ is important since it gives a tool for classifying algebraic varieties over K . The absolute Frobenius $F : X \rightarrow X$ induces the Frobenius action $H^q(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \rightarrow H^q(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$, denoted by $F^{*,q}$.

The purpose of this paper is to present a method for computing $F^{*,q} : H^q(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \rightarrow H^q(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ for $1 \leq q \leq r-1$ algorithmically. Given a concrete X and its covering, we can *theoretically* compute $H^i(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ by Čech cohomology. However, the representation of elements of $H^i(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ depends on one's choice of an open covering $\mathcal{U} = \{U_i\}_{i \in I}$ for X . Moreover, one needs to represent the image of each basis element by the Frobenius as a linear combination of the same basis. To compute such a representation algorithmically, we shall construct two morphisms, the composition of which coincides with F . By this decomposition, we reduce the computation of $F^{*,q}$ into that of free resolutions and lifting morphisms of graded modules. In what follows, we describe our strategy for computing the representation matrix for the Frobenius action $F^{*,q}$ to the q -th cohomology group $H^q(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$. This framework has been proposed in [18, Chapter 5] (but not neither written as a concrete algorithm nor precisely analyzed).

The idea of our strategy: We construct the following commutative diagram of morphisms:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
& & X_p & & \\
& \nearrow & & \searrow & \\
X & & & & X \\
& \searrow & & \nearrow & \\
& & X^{(p)} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & X \\
& \downarrow & & & \downarrow \\
& \text{Spec}(\mathbb{F}_q) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \text{Spec}(\mathbb{F}_q)
\end{array}$$

(Note: In the original image, there are additional curved arrows: \$X \to X_p\$, \$X_p \to X\$, and \$X \to X^{(p)}\$. The arrow \$X \to X^{(p)}\$ is labeled \$F_{\text{abs}}\$.)

where we set $X_p := V(f_1^p, \dots, f_t^p)$, and $X \rightarrow X_p$ and $X_p \rightarrow X$ are certain morphisms defined below. Instead of the composition of $X \rightarrow X^{(p)}$ and $X^{(p)} \rightarrow X$, we use that of $X \rightarrow X_p$ and $X_p \rightarrow X$ for computing the representation matrix for $F^{*,i}$.

Let $\mathcal{I} := I^\sim$ and $\mathcal{I}_p := (I_p)^\sim$ be the ideal sheaves associated to the homogeneous ideals $I := \langle f_1, \dots, f_t \rangle_S$ and $I_p := \langle f_1^p, \dots, f_t^p \rangle_S$, respectively. Let F_1 denote the absolute Frobenius morphism on $\mathbf{P}^r$. We then have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
& & H^q(X, \mathcal{O}_X) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & H^{q+1}(\mathbf{P}^r, \mathcal{I}) \\
& \nearrow & \downarrow (F_1|_{X_p})^{*,q} & & \downarrow F_1^{*,q} \\
H^q(X^{(p)}, \mathcal{O}_{X^{(p)}}) & \xleftarrow{F^{*,q}} & H^q(X_p, \mathcal{O}_{X_p}) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & H^{q+1}(\mathbf{P}^r, \mathcal{I}_p) \\
& \searrow & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
& & H^q(X, \mathcal{O}_X) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & H^{q+1}(\mathbf{P}^r, \mathcal{I})
\end{array}$$

where the morphism $H^q(X_p, \mathcal{O}_{X_p}) \rightarrow H^q(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ (resp. $H^{q+1}(\mathbf{P}^r, \mathcal{I}_p) \rightarrow H^{q+1}(\mathbf{P}^r, \mathcal{I})$) is induced by the morphism from $\mathcal{O}_{X_p}$ to $\mathcal{O}_X$ (resp. $\mathcal{I}_p$ to $\mathcal{I}$) corresponding to the homomorphism $S/I_p \rightarrow S/I$ (resp. $I_p \rightarrow I$). Let ψ denote the above $S/I_p \rightarrow S/I$, given by $h + I_p \mapsto h + I$. The finitely generated graded S -module S/I has a (minimal) free resolution of the form

$$0 \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{j=1}^{t_{r+1}} S \left(-d_j^{(r+1)} \right) \xrightarrow{\varphi_{r+1}} \dots \xrightarrow{\varphi_2} \bigoplus_{j=1}^{t_1} S \left(-d_j^{(1)} \right) \xrightarrow{\varphi_1} S \xrightarrow{\varphi_0} S/I \longrightarrow 0.$$

We denote by $\left(g_{k,\ell}^{(i)} \right)_{k,\ell}$ the representation matrix of φ_i . As we will see in the next subsection (Subsection 2.3), the module S/I_p has a graded free resolution of the form

$$0 \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{j=1}^{t_{r+1}} S \left(-d_j^{(r+1)} p \right) \xrightarrow{\varphi_{r+1}^{(p)}} \dots \xrightarrow{\varphi_2^{(p)}} \bigoplus_{j=1}^{t_1} S \left(-d_j^{(1)} p \right) \xrightarrow{\varphi_1^{(p)}} S \xrightarrow{\varphi_0^{(p)}} S/I_p \longrightarrow 0,$$

where each $\varphi_i^{(p)}$ is given by the matrix with entries equal to the p -th powers of the entries of the matrix for φ_i . For the above free resolutions of S/I_p and S/I , there exist ψ_i for $0 \leq i \leq r+1$ such

that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
0 \longrightarrow & \bigoplus_{j=1}^{t_{r+1}} S \left(-d_j^{(r+1)} p \right) & \xrightarrow{\varphi_{r+1}^{(p)}} \cdots \xrightarrow{\varphi_2^{(p)}} & \bigoplus_{j=1}^{t_1} S \left(-d_j^{(1)} p \right) & \xrightarrow{\varphi_1^{(p)}} & S & \xrightarrow{\varphi_0^{(p)}} S/I_p \longrightarrow 0 \\
& \downarrow \psi_{r+1} & & \downarrow \psi_1 & & \downarrow \psi_0 & \downarrow \psi \\
0 \longrightarrow & \bigoplus_{j=1}^{t_{r+1}} S \left(-d_j^{(r+1)} \right) & \xrightarrow{\varphi_{r+1}} \cdots \xrightarrow{\varphi_2} & \bigoplus_{j=1}^{t_1} S \left(-d_j^{(1)} \right) & \xrightarrow{\varphi_1} & S & \xrightarrow{\varphi_0} S/I \longrightarrow 0
\end{array}$$

commutes. For a computation method of each morphism ψ_i , see e.g., [7, Chapter 15]. Let C_i be the representation matrix of ψ_i . Let $\Psi := \psi^\sim$ denote the sheaf morphisms $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^r}/\mathcal{I}_p \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^r}/\mathcal{I}$ induced by ψ . Put $\Psi_i := \psi_i^\sim$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{G}_i &:= \bigoplus_{j=1}^{t_i} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^r} \left(-d_j^{(i)} \right), \quad \Phi_i := \varphi_i^\sim \text{ and} \\
\mathcal{G}_i^{(p)} &:= \bigoplus_{j=1}^{t_i} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^r} \left(-d_j^{(i)} p \right), \quad \Phi_i^{(p)} := \left(\varphi_i^{(p)} \right)^\sim
\end{aligned}$$

for $0 \leq i \leq r+1$, where $\varphi_i^\sim$, $(\varphi_i^{(p)})^\sim$ and $\psi_i^\sim$ denote the sheaf morphisms $\mathcal{G}_i \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_{i-1}$, $\mathcal{G}_i^{(p)} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_{i-1}^{(p)}$ and $\mathcal{G}_i^{(p)} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_i$ induced by φ_i , $\varphi_i^{(p)}$ and ψ_i , respectively. The above commutative diagram of S -modules induces the following commutative diagram of the cohomology groups:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
0 \longrightarrow & H^r(\mathbf{P}^r, \mathcal{G}_{r+1}^{(p)}) & \xrightarrow{H^r(\Phi_{r+1}^{(p)})} \cdots \xrightarrow{H^r(\Phi_1^{(p)})} & H^r(\mathbf{P}^r, \mathcal{G}_0^{(p)}) & \xrightarrow{H^r(\Phi_0^{(p)})} & H^r(\mathbf{P}^r, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^r}/\mathcal{I}_p) & \longrightarrow 0 \\
& \downarrow H^r(\Psi_{r+1}) & & \downarrow H^r(\Psi_0) & & \downarrow H^r(\Psi) & \\
0 \longrightarrow & H^r(\mathbf{P}^r, \mathcal{G}_{r+1}) & \xrightarrow{H^r(\Phi_{r+1})} \cdots \xrightarrow{H^r(\Phi_1)} & H^r(\mathbf{P}^r, \mathcal{G}_0) & \xrightarrow{H^r(\Phi_0)} & H^r(\mathbf{P}^r, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^r}/\mathcal{I}) & \longrightarrow 0
\end{array}$$

One can check that each horizontal sequence is a complex, since the functor $H^r(\cdot)$ is right exact.

Lemma 2.2.1. *With notation as above, we have the following commutative diagram:*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
H^q(X, \mathcal{O}_X) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & H^{q+1}(\mathbf{P}^r, \mathcal{I}) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \text{Ker}(H^r(\Phi_{r-q})) / \text{Im}(H^r(\Phi_{r-q+1})) \\
\downarrow (F_1|_{X_p})^{*,q} & & \downarrow F_1^{*,q} & & \downarrow \text{power } p \\
F^{*,q} \left(\begin{array}{c} H^q(X_p, \mathcal{O}_{X_p}) \xrightarrow{\cong} H^{q+1}(\mathbf{P}^r, \mathcal{I}_p) \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{Ker}(H^r(\Phi_{r-q}^{(p)})) / \text{Im}(H^r(\Phi_{r-q+1}^{(p)})) \\ \downarrow \qquad \qquad \qquad \downarrow H^r(\Psi_{r-q}) \end{array} \right. & & & & \\
H^q(X, \mathcal{O}_X) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & H^{q+1}(\mathbf{P}^r, \mathcal{I}) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \text{Ker}(H^r(\Phi_{r-q})) / \text{Im}(H^r(\Phi_{r-q+1}))
\end{array}$$

Proof. See [18, Section 5]. □

Here the representation matrix of $F^{*,q}$ is computed as follows.

- (1) Compute a basis $\mathcal{B} = \{\mathbf{b}_1, \dots, \mathbf{b}_g\}$ of $H^q(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \cong \text{Ker}(H^r(\Phi_{r-q})) / \text{Im}(H^r(\Phi_{r-q+1}))$.

- (2) Compute the vector, each entry of which is the p -th power of each entry of $\mathbf{b}_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq g$. We write the vector $\mathbf{b}_i^{(p)}$ for $1 \leq i \leq g$.

- (3) Compute $\mathbf{b}_i^{(p)} \cdot {}^t C_{r-q}$ for $1 \leq i \leq g$, and the representation matrix of $F^{*,q}$ via the basis $\mathcal{B}$.

Remark 2.2.2. (1) For integers t and m_j with $1 \leq j \leq t$, the r -th cohomology group

$$H^r \left(\mathbf{P}^r, \bigoplus_{j=1}^t \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^r}(m_j) \right) \cong \bigoplus_{j=1}^t H^r(\mathbf{P}^r, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^r}(m_j))$$

is a finite-dimensional K -vector space with the basis

$$\{x_0^{\ell_0} \cdots x_r^{\ell_r} \mathbf{e}_j : 1 \leq j \leq t, (\ell_0, \dots, \ell_r) \in (\mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0})^{r+1}, \ell_0 + \cdots + \ell_r = -m_j\},$$

where $\mathbf{e}_j$ denotes the vector with a 1 in the j -th coordinate and 0's elsewhere. With this fact, the basis of $H^q(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \cong \text{Ker}(H^r(\Phi_{r-q})) / \text{Im}(H^r(\Phi_{r-q+1}))$ is given as follows: $H^r(\mathbf{P}^r, \mathcal{G}_{r-q})$ has a K -basis of the form

$$\{\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_{g'}\} = \{x_0^{\ell_0} \cdots x_r^{\ell_r} \mathbf{e}_j : 1 \leq j \leq t_{r-q}, (\ell_0, \dots, \ell_r) \in (\mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0})^{r+1}, \ell_0 + \cdots + \ell_r = -d_j^{(r-q)}\}.$$

One has a K -basis of $\text{Ker}(H^r(\Phi_{r-q})) / \text{Im}(H^r(\Phi_{r-q+1}))$ as

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{b}_1 \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{b}_g \end{bmatrix} = B \cdot \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{v}_1 \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{v}_{g'} \end{bmatrix}$$

for some $\dim_K H^q(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \times \dim_K H^r(\mathbf{P}^r, \mathcal{G}_{r-q})$ matrix B over K . For the computation of B , see [18, Section 3].

- (2) If the sequence $(f_1, \dots, f_t)$ is S -regular, i.e., $X = V(f_1, \dots, f_t)$ is a complete intersection, then one can compute lifting maps between free S -modules in free resolutions for S/I and S/I_p via the *Koszul complex*. Moreover in such a case with $q = \dim(X)$, $F^{*,q}$ is determined by coefficients in $(f_1 \cdots f_t)^{p-1}$. We will see that in Section 4 (see also [19, Appendix B]).
- (3) As we will see in the next subsection (Subsection 2.3), one gets a free resolution for S/I_p from a free resolution for S/I with p -th power multiplications.

2.3 Frobenius functor for the category of modules

This subsection is devoted to properties of the Frobenius functor for the category of modules, see e.g., [23] for more details. Let R be a ring of positive characteristic p , M an R -module, and f the Frobenius endomorphism on R . We denote by ${}^f M$ the left R -module structure defined on M by restriction of scalars via f , that is, for $r \in R$ and $m \in M$, we define $r \cdot m := r^p m$.

The Frobenius functor is defined as a functor from the category of R -modules to itself, and it is defined by $F_R(M) := M \otimes_R {}^f R$. Here, we enumerate in the following lemma some fundamental properties of the Frobenius functor $F_R(\cdot)$.

Lemma 2.3.1. *Let R be a ring of positive characteristic p , f the Frobenius endomorphism on R , and $F_R(\cdot)$ the Frobenius functor from the category of R -modules to itself.*

- (1) $F_R(\cdot)$ is right exact.
- (2) $F_R(R) = R \otimes_R {}^fR \simeq {}^fR \simeq R$ as R -modules via $a \otimes b \mapsto a \cdot b = a^p b$. For free modules, one has $F_R(R^t) = (\bigoplus_{i=1}^t R) \otimes_R {}^fR \simeq ({}^fR)^t \simeq R^t$ via $(a_1, \dots, a_t) \otimes b \mapsto (a_1 \cdot b, \dots, a_t \cdot b) = (a_1^p b, \dots, a_t^p b)$.
- (3) For any ideal $J \subset R$, we have $F_R(R/J) = (R/J) \otimes_R {}^fR \simeq R/J_p$, where J_p denotes the ideal generated by the p -th powers of elements of J .
- (4) Let $\varphi : R^t \rightarrow R^s$ be a homomorphism of R -modules, and $(r_{i,j})_{i,j}$ a $t \times s$ matrix which represents φ via standard bases. Then $F_R(\varphi) : R^t \rightarrow R^s$ is given by the matrix with entries equal to the p -th powers of the entries of the matrix $(r_{i,j})_{i,j}$.

Proof. (1) Since tensor product is right exact, the claim holds. (2) Straightforward. (3) The claim follows from $F_R(R/J) = (R/J) \otimes_R {}^fR \simeq J \cdot {}^fR$, where in this case $J \cdot {}^fR := \langle a^p x : a \in J, x \in {}^fR \rangle_R = J_p$. (4) Let $\mathbf{e}_i$ be an element of the standard basis of R^t . By (2), we identify $\mathbf{e}_i$ with $\mathbf{e}_i \otimes 1$, and it follows that $F_R(\varphi)(\mathbf{e}_i) = (\varphi \otimes \text{id}_{{}^fR})(\mathbf{e}_i \otimes 1) = (\sum_{j=1}^s r_{i,j} \mathbf{e}_j) \otimes 1 = \sum_{j=1}^s (r_{i,j} \mathbf{e}_j \otimes 1) = \sum_{j=1}^s (r_{i,j} \cdot 1) \mathbf{e}_j = \sum_{j=1}^s r_{i,j}^p \mathbf{e}_j$. $\square$

Theorem 2.3.2 (Kunz's Theorem, [21], Theorems 2.1 and 3.3). *Let R be a local ring of characteristic p . Then R is a regular ring if and only if f^n is flat for all $n > 0$, where f denotes the Frobenius endomorphism on R .*

Since regularity and flatness can be each checked locally, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 2.3.3. *Let R be a ring of positive characteristic p . Then R is a regular ring if and only if f^n is flat for all $n > 0$, where f denotes the Frobenius endomorphism on R .*

Lemma 2.3.4. *Let L be a field of positive characteristic $p > 0$, and $R := L[y_1, \dots, y_n]$ the polynomial ring with n indeterminates over L . Let $f_1, \dots, f_t \in R$ be homogeneous polynomials with $d_j^{(1)} = \deg(f_j)$ for $1 \leq j \leq t$, and $J \subset R$ the ideal generated by $f_1, \dots, f_t$. Suppose that R/J has the following graded free resolution:*

$$0 \rightarrow \bigoplus_{j=1}^{t_n} R \left(-d_j^{(n)} \right) \xrightarrow{\varphi_n} \dots \xrightarrow{\varphi_2} \bigoplus_{j=1}^{t_1} R \left(-d_j^{(1)} \right) \xrightarrow{\varphi_1} R \xrightarrow{\varphi_0} R/J \rightarrow 0. \quad (2.3.1)$$

We denote by $\left(g_{k,\ell}^{(i)} \right)_{k,\ell}$ the representation matrix of φ_i . Then R/J_p has a graded free resolution of the form

$$0 \rightarrow \bigoplus_{j=1}^{t_n} R \left(-d_j^{(n)} p \right) \xrightarrow{\varphi_n^{(p)}} \dots \xrightarrow{\varphi_2^{(p)}} \bigoplus_{j=1}^{t_1} R \left(-d_j^{(1)} p \right) \xrightarrow{\varphi_1^{(p)}} R \xrightarrow{\varphi_0^{(p)}} R/J_p \rightarrow 0, \quad (2.3.2)$$

where $J_p := \langle f_1^p, \dots, f_t^p \rangle_R$, and $\varphi_i^{(p)}$ is given by the matrix with entries equal to the p -th powers of the entries of the matrix for φ_i for each $0 \leq i \leq n$.

Proof. By Lemma 2.3.1 and Corollary 2.3.3 together with the fact that R is a regular ring of dimension n , the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \bigoplus_{j=1}^{t_n} R \left(-d_j^{(n)} p \right) \xrightarrow{\varphi_n^{(p)}} \dots \xrightarrow{\varphi_2^{(p)}} \bigoplus_{j=1}^{t_1} R \left(-d_j^{(1)} p \right) \xrightarrow{\varphi_1^{(p)}} R \xrightarrow{\varphi_0^{(p)}} R/J_p \rightarrow 0 \quad (2.3.3)$$

is exact. It is straightforward that the sequence (2.3.3) gives a graded free resolution for R/J_p . $\square$

Remark 2.3.5. As in Section 2.2, one computes both resolutions of S/I and S/I_p in order to compute representation matrices for the action of Frobenius to the cohomology groups. Lemma 2.3.4 implies that it suffices for the computation to get only a resolution of S/I (but in such a case one has to compute the p -th powers of the entries of each representation matrix of the resolution).

3 Proof of Theorem 1: Algorithm and Complexity Analysis

In this section, we prove Theorem 1 stated in Section 1; we give a concrete algorithm to compute representation matrices for the action of Frobenius to the cohomology groups of algebraic varieties, prove its correctness, and determine its complexity. As in the previous section, let K be a perfect field of characteristic p , and let $S = K[x_0, \dots, x_r]$ denote the polynomial ring of $r+1$ indeterminates over K .

3.1 Concrete algorithm

In the following, we give our main algorithm. The idea of Algorithm (I) has been given in [18, Section 5.3]. Different from the computational method given in [18, Section 5.3], we clarify the structure of free resolutions and lifting morphisms for the input, and the images of the Frobenius on the cohomology groups.

We first fix r , the dimension of the projective space $\mathbf{P}^r$. The inputs are a tuple of homogeneous polynomials $(f_1, \dots, f_t) \in S^t$ with $X := V(f_1, \dots, f_t) \subset \mathbf{P}^r$, the characteristic p , and an integer $1 \leq q \leq r-1$. The output is the rank of the representation matrix of the Frobenius $F^{*,q} : H^q(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \rightarrow H^q(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ for a suitable basis.

Algorithm (I) Given homogeneous polynomials $f_1, \dots, f_t \in S = K[x_0, \dots, x_r]$, a rational prime p and an integer $1 \leq q \leq r-1$, we give an algorithm to compute the representation matrix for the action of Frobenius to $H^q(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$, where $X = V(f_1, \dots, f_t)$. Our algorithm has the following two main procedures Steps A and B, and Step A (resp. Step B) consists of two (resp. three) sub-procedures (A-1)–(A-2) (resp. (B-1)–(B-3)):

Step A. We first compute free resolutions for S/I and S/I_p , and lifting morphisms. This step can be divided into the following two steps:

- (1-1) Given homogeneous polynomials $f_1, \dots, f_t \in S$ and a rational prime p , we compute (minimal) free resolutions for S/I and S/I_p . Recall from Lemma 2.3.4 that we can compute a free resolution of S/I_p by p -th power multiplications from the resolution of S/I . Specifically, we compute all the elements

$$t_i, d_j^{(i)}, \left(g_{k,\ell}^{(i)}\right)_{k,\ell}, \text{ and } \left(\left(g_{k,\ell}^{(i)}\right)^p\right)_{k,\ell} \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq r+1 \quad (3.1.1)$$

in Lemma 2.3.4. These elements are determined from the resolution.

- (2-2) Given the elements of (3.1.1), we compute homomorphisms ψ_i for $1 \leq i \leq r+1$ such

that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
0 \longrightarrow & \bigoplus_{j=1}^{t_{r+1}} S \left(-d_j^{(r+1)} p \right) & \xrightarrow{\varphi_{r+1}^{(p)}} \cdots \xrightarrow{\varphi_2^{(p)}} & \bigoplus_{j=1}^{t_1} S \left(-d_j^{(1)} p \right) & \xrightarrow{\varphi_1^{(p)}} & S & \xrightarrow{\varphi_0^{(p)}} S/I_p \longrightarrow 0 \\
& \downarrow \psi_{r+1} & & \downarrow \psi_1 & & \downarrow \psi_0 & \downarrow \psi \\
0 \longrightarrow & \bigoplus_{j=1}^{t_{r+1}} S \left(-d_j^{(r+1)} \right) & \xrightarrow{\varphi_{r+1}} \cdots \xrightarrow{\varphi_2} & \bigoplus_{j=1}^{t_1} S \left(-d_j^{(1)} \right) & \xrightarrow{\varphi_1} & S & \xrightarrow{\varphi_0} S/I \longrightarrow 0
\end{array}$$

where ψ_0 is the identity map on S , and ψ is given by $h + I_p \mapsto h + I$. Specifically, we compute the representation matrices of ψ_i via standard bases for $1 \leq i \leq r+1$, say

$$C_i = \left(h_{k,\ell}^{(i)} \right)_{k,\ell} \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq r+1. \quad (3.1.2)$$

Each homomorphism ψ_i is called a *lifting homomorphism*. A method for computing lifting homomorphisms is given in e.g., [7, Chapter 15], which is based on division algorithms on free S -modules.

Step B. Given the elements of (3.1.1) and (3.1.2), we next compute the representation matrix for the action of Frobenius $F^{*,q} : H^q(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \longrightarrow H^q(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$. This step can be divided into the following three steps:

(B-1) Given the elements of (3.1.1), we compute a basis of $H^q(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$. More concretely, we compute the basis via a certain isomorphism of K -vector spaces. As in Subsection 2.2, put

$$\mathcal{G}_i := \bigoplus_{j=1}^{t_i} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^r} \left(-d_j^{(i)} \right), \quad \Phi_i := \varphi_i^\sim, \text{ for } 0 \leq i \leq r+1.$$

As stated in Lemma 2.2.1, we have the isomorphism

$$H^q(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \cong \text{Ker} (H^r(\Phi_{r-q})) / \text{Im} (H^r(\Phi_{r-q+1})) \text{ for } 1 \leq q \leq r-1. \quad (3.1.3)$$

With this isomorphism, one can compute the basis $\mathcal{B} = \{\mathbf{b}_1, \dots, \mathbf{b}_g\}$ of $H^q(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$, and at the same time gets a $g \times g'$ matrix $(b_{i,j})_{i,j}$ such that

$$\mathbf{b}_i = \sum_{j=1}^{g'} b_{i,j} \mathbf{v}_j, \quad (3.1.4)$$

where the set of $\mathbf{v}_j$'s is a basis of $H^r(\mathbf{P}^r, \mathcal{G}_{r-q})$, given in Remark 2.2.2 (1). In other words, the matrix $(b_{i,j})_{i,j}$ gives rise to a basis of the quotient vector space $\text{Ker} (H^r(\Phi_{r-q})) / \text{Im} (H^r(\Phi_{r-q+1}))$. For a more detailed description on computing the basis of $H^q(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$, see [18].

(B-2) From the basis $\mathcal{B} = \{\mathbf{b}_1, \dots, \mathbf{b}_g\}$ of $H^q(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$, we compute the image of the basis by $F_1^{*,q}$, where F_1 denotes the absolute Frobenius on $\mathbf{P}^r$. More concretely, we compute the p -th power of each entry of $\mathbf{b}_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq g$.

(B-3) From the image $\mathbf{b}_i^{(p)} := F_1^{*,q}(\mathbf{b}_i)$, the representation matrix C_{r-q} of the lifting homomorphism ψ_{r-q} and the basis $\mathcal{B}$ with the matrix $(b_{i,j})_{i,j}$, we compute the representation matrix of $F^{*,q}$, and output its rank. More concretely, we compute $F^{*,q}(\mathbf{b}_i) = H^r(\psi_{r-q}^\sim)(F_1^{*,q}(\mathbf{b}_i)) = H^r(\psi_{r-q}^\sim)(\mathbf{b}_i^{(p)}) = \mathbf{b}_i^{(p)} \cdot {}^t C_{r-q}$, and the representation matrix of $F^{*,q} : H^q(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \rightarrow H^q(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ via the basis $\mathcal{B}$. Finally, compute the rank and output it.

We here state the correctness of Algorithm (I).

Proposition 3.1.1. Algorithm (I) outputs the rank of $F^{*,q}$.

Proof. It is straightforward from the construction of Algorithm (I) that the output is the rank of the composition map of

$$\text{Ker}(H^r(\Phi_{r-q}))/\text{Im}(H^r(\Phi_{r-q+1})) \xrightarrow{\text{power } p} \text{Ker}(H^r(\Phi_{r-q}^{(p)}))/\text{Im}(H^r(\Phi_{r-q+1}^{(p)}))$$

and

$$\text{Ker}(H^r(\Phi_{r-q}^{(p)}))/\text{Im}(H^r(\Phi_{r-q+1}^{(p)})) \xrightarrow{H^r(\psi_{r-q}^\sim)} \text{Ker}(H^r(\Phi_{r-q}))/\text{Im}(H^r(\Phi_{r-q+1})).$$

By Lemma 2.2.1, the rank of this composition coincides with that of $F^{*,q}$. $\square$

Remark 3.1.2. In the case of $q = r$, one has

$$H^r(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \cong \text{Coker}(H^r(\Phi_1)) \quad (3.1.5)$$

and thus one can compute $F^{*,r}$ in a similar way to the cases of $1 \leq q \leq r-1$.

In the following paragraph, we write down the computation of Steps (B-2) and (B-3) in algorithmic format, which shall give a useful information to implement the algorithm over mathematical softwares. Computation of Step A is well-known in theory of Gröbner bases (see [5, Chapter 6], [7, Chapter 15] and [6, Subsections 4.1 and 4.2] for details). For Step (B-1), [18] gives a concrete description. Let us omit to describe in this paper Steps A and (B-1).

A pseudocode for Steps (B-2) and (B-3) Recall from Step (B-1) of Algorithm (I) together with Remark 2.2.2, we get a basis $\{\mathbf{b}_1, \dots, \mathbf{b}_g\}$ for $H^q(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ as follows: The basis of $H^r(\mathbf{P}^r, \mathcal{G}_{r-q})$ computed in our algorithm is

$$\{\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_{g'}\} = \{x_0^{\ell_0} \cdots x_r^{\ell_r} \mathbf{e}_j : 1 \leq j \leq t_{r-q}, (\ell_0, \dots, \ell_r) \in (\mathbb{Z}_{<0})^{r+1}, \ell_0 + \cdots + \ell_r = -d_j^{(r-q)}\},$$

where $g' := \dim_K H^r(\mathbf{P}^r, \mathcal{G}_{r-q})$. One has a K -basis of $\text{Ker}(H^r(\Phi_{r-q}))/\text{Im}(H^r(\Phi_{r-q+1}))$ as

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{b}_1 \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{b}_g \end{bmatrix} = B \cdot \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{v}_1 \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{v}_{g'} \end{bmatrix}$$

for some $g \times g'$ matrix $B = (b_{i,j})_{i,j}$ over K . Let C_{r-q} denote the representation matrix for the lifting homomorphism ψ_{r-q} computed in Step (A-2), say

$$(\psi_{r-q}(\mathbf{e}_1), \dots, \psi_{r-q}(\mathbf{e}_{t_{r-q}})) = (\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_{t_{r-q}}) \cdot C_{r-q}.$$

With these B , $\{\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_{g'}\}$ and $C_{r-q} = (h_{i,j})_{i,j}$ as inputs, we here write down a pseudocode (Algorithm 3.1.1) for Steps (B-2)-(B-3).

Algorithm 3.1.1 RankOfFrobenius($p, B, [\mathbf{v}_i]_{i=1}^{g'}, C_{r-q}$)

Input: characteristic p , a matrix B , a basis $[\mathbf{v}_i]_{i=1}^{g'}$, and a matrix C_{r-q}

Output: the rank of $F^{*,q}$

- 1: Compute the p -th power of each entry of B
- 2: $B^{(p)} \leftarrow (b_{i,j}^p)_{i,j}$
- 3: **for** $j = 1$ **to** g' **do**
- 4: Write $\mathbf{v}_j = X_0^{\ell_0(j)} \dots X_r^{\ell_r(j)} \mathbf{e}_{k(j)}$ for some $\ell_0(j), \dots, \ell_r(j) \in \mathbb{Z}_{<0}$ and $k(j) \geq 1$
- 5: $\mathbf{v}_j^{(p)} \leftarrow X_0^{\ell_0(j)p} \dots X_r^{\ell_r(j)p} \mathbf{e}_{k(j)}$
- 6: **end for**
- 7: Compute

$$B^{(p)} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{v}_1^{(p)} \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{v}_{g'}^{(p)} \end{bmatrix} \cdot {}^t C_{r-q}$$

- 8: Write

$$B^{(p)} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{v}_1^{(p)} \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{v}_{g'}^{(p)} \end{bmatrix} \cdot {}^t C_{r-q} = B' \cdot \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{v}_1 \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{v}_{g'} \end{bmatrix}$$

for some $g \times g'$ matrix over K

- 9: Solve the linear system $BX = B'$
 - 10: Compute the rank of X
 - 11: **return** rank(X)
-

Proposition 3.1.3. *Algorithm 3.1.1 outputs the rank of $F^{*,q}$.*

Proof. We first claim

$$\begin{bmatrix} F_1^{*,q}(\mathbf{b}_1) \\ \vdots \\ F_1^{*,q}(\mathbf{b}_g) \end{bmatrix} = B^{(p)} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{v}_1^{(p)} \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{v}_{g'}^{(p)} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Indeed, we have

$$\begin{aligned} F_1^{*,q}(\mathbf{b}_i) &= F_1^{*,q} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{g'} b_{i,j} \mathbf{v}_j \right) = F_1^{*,q} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{g'} b_{i,j} X_0^{\ell_0(j)} \dots X_r^{\ell_r(j)} \mathbf{e}_{k(j)} \right) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{g'} (b_{i,j})^p X_0^{\ell_0(j)p} \dots X_r^{\ell_r(j)p} \mathbf{e}_{k(j)} = \sum_{j=1}^{g'} (b_{i,j})^p \mathbf{v}_j^{(p)}, \end{aligned}$$

where we write $\mathbf{v}_j = X_0^{\ell_0(j)} \dots X_r^{\ell_r(j)} \mathbf{e}_{k(j)}$ for some $\ell_0(j), \dots, \ell_r(j) \in \mathbb{Z}_{<0}$ and $k(j) \geq 1$. Further-

more we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{g'} (b_{i,j})^p \mathbf{v}_j^{(p)} \right) \cdot {}^t C_{r-q} &= (F_1^{*,q}(\mathbf{b}_i)) \cdot {}^t C_{r-q} = (H^r(\Psi_{r-q}) \circ F_1^{*,q})(\mathbf{b}_i) \\ &= (F^{*,q})(\mathbf{b}_i) \in \text{Ker}(H^r(\Phi_{r-q})) / \text{Im}(H^r(\Phi_{r-q+1})). \end{aligned}$$

Recall that $\text{Ker}(H^r(\Phi_{r-q})) \subset H^r(\mathbf{P}^r, \mathcal{G}_{r-q})$. Hence there exist matrices B' and X over K such that

$$B^{(p)} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{v}_1^{(p)} \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{v}_{g'}^{(p)} \end{bmatrix} \cdot {}^t C_{r-q} = B' \cdot \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{v}_1 \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{v}_{g'} \end{bmatrix} = XB \cdot \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{v}_1 \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{v}_{g'} \end{bmatrix},$$

where ${}^t X$ gives the representation matrix of $F^{*,q}$ for the basis $\{\mathbf{b}_1, \dots, \mathbf{b}_g\}$. $\square$

Remark 3.1.4. In Step A, we compute (minimal) free resolutions and lifting homomorphisms for the input modules. Several computational methods for free resolutions and lifting homomorphisms have been proposed and implemented in computer algebra systems. Such computations can be done in exponential time in general. However, objects such as the cohomology groups and their related invariants should be determined by mathematical invariants of input structures. From this, in our complexity analysis of the next subsection (Subsection 3.2), we set such mathematical invariants obtained from the form of free resolutions and lifting homomorphisms as inputs.

3.2 Complexity analysis

In this subsection, we investigate the complexity of Algorithm (I) given in the previous subsection. Recall that the input objects of the algorithm are an integer $1 \leq q \leq r-1$, a rational prime p , and homogeneous polynomials $f_1, \dots, f_t \in S$. Note that the computation of Step A is generally done in exponential time for the degrees and the number of the monomials of f_i 's. For fixed r and q , the output object is determined by p and the elements of (3.1.1) and (3.1.2), which are computed in Step A. From this, we estimate the complexity over S of Algorithm (I) according to the parameters $p, t^{(\max)} := \max\{t_i : r-q-1 \leq i \leq r-q+1\}, d^{(\max)} := \max\{d^{(i, \max)} : r-q-1 \leq i \leq r-q+1\}$, where $d^{(i, \max)} := \max\{d_j^{(i)} : 1 \leq j \leq t_i\}$.

Proposition 3.2.1. *With notations as above, Step B of Algorithm (I) in Subsection 3.1 (not counting the computation of a basis of $H^r(\mathbf{P}^r, \mathcal{G}_i)$ for $r-q-1 \leq i \leq r-q+1$) terminates in*

$$O\left(\left(t^{(\max)}(d^{(\max)})^r\right)^4 + \left((t^{(\max)})(d^{(\max)})^r\right)^2 \log(p)\right) \quad (3.2.1)$$

arithmetic operations over $S = K[X_0, \dots, X_r]$.

Proof. (B-1) *The bases of $H^q(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$:* From [18, Proposition 3.5.1], this computation is done in

$$O\left(\left(t^{(\max)}(d^{(\max)})^r\right)^4\right) \quad (3.2.2)$$

arithmetic operations over S . Recall from Algorithm (I) in Subsection 3.1 that this step outputs a basis $\mathcal{B}$ of $H^q(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \cong \text{Ker}(H^r(\Phi_{r-q})) / \text{Im}(H^r(\Phi_{r-q+1}))$ with a basis $\mathcal{V} = \{\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_{g'}\}$ of $H^r(\mathbf{P}^r, \mathcal{G}_{r-q})$ and a $g \times g'$ matrix $(b_{i,j})_{i,j}$ such that (3.1.4) is satisfied.

(B-2) *The image of $\mathcal{B}$ by $F_1^{*,q}$:* In this step, for each basis element $\mathbf{b}_i \in \mathcal{B}$, we compute the p -th power of its each entry. Recall that $\mathbf{b}_i$ is of the form $\sum_{j=1}^{g'} b_{i,j} \mathbf{v}_j$. As stated in the proof of Proposition 3.1.3, we have

$$\mathbf{b}_i^{(p)} := F_1^{*,q}(\mathbf{b}_i) = F_1^* \left(\sum_{j=1}^{g'} b_{i,j} \mathbf{v}_j \right) = \sum_{j=1}^{g'} (b_{i,j})^p \mathbf{v}_j^{(p)},$$

where $\mathbf{v}_j^{(p)}$ denotes the vector with entries equal to the p -th powers of the entries of $\mathbf{v}_j$. Assume here that one computes exponentiation in $O(\log(e))$ arithmetic operations, where e is the exponent. Then it follows from $g' = O(t^{(\max)}(d^{(\max)})^r)$ that computing $\mathbf{b}_i^{(p)}$ is done in

$$O \left(t^{(\max)} (d^{(\max)})^r \log(p) \right).$$

Since $g = \dim_K H^q(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = O(t^{(\max)}(d^{(\max)})^r)$, this computation terminates in

$$O \left(\left(t^{(\max)} (d^{(\max)})^r \right)^2 \log(p) \right) \quad (3.2.3)$$

arithmetic operations over K .

(B-3) *The representation matrix of F^* :* In this part, we first compute the image of $\mathcal{B}^{(p)} := F_1^{*,q}(\mathcal{B})$ by $H^q(\Psi_{r-q})$. Recall that $g = \#\mathcal{B}^{(p)} = O(t^{(\max)}(d^{(\max)})^r)$. Since C_i is a $(t_i \times t_i)$ -matrix over S , the computation runs in

$$O \left((t^{(\max)})^3 (d^{(\max)})^r \right) \quad (3.2.4)$$

arithmetic operations over S . For each $1 \leq i \leq g$, we compute $x_{i,1}, \dots, x_{i,g} \in K$ such that

$$\mathbf{b}_i^{(p)} \cdot {}^t C_{r-q} = \sum_{j=1}^g x_{i,j} \mathbf{b}_j. \quad (3.2.5)$$

To find $x_{i,1}, \dots, x_{i,g} \in K$, we solve a linear system. More concretely, we first represent $\mathbf{b}_i^{(p)} \cdot C_{r-q}$ as

$$C_{r-q} \mathbf{b}_i^{(p)} = \sum_{j=1}^{g'} b'_{i,j} \mathbf{v}_j \quad (3.2.6)$$

for each i , where $\mathcal{V} = \{\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_{g'}\}$ is a basis of $H^r(\mathbf{P}^r, \mathcal{G}_{r-q})$. Then we solve

$$(x_{i,1}, \dots, x_{i,g}) \begin{pmatrix} b_{1,1} & \cdots & b_{1,g'} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ b_{g,1} & \cdots & b_{g,g'} \end{pmatrix} = (b'_{i,1}, \dots, b'_{i,g'}) \quad (3.2.7)$$

for each $1 \leq i \leq g$. The size of the coefficient matrix $(b_{i,j})_{i,j}$ is $g' = O(t^{(\max)}(d^{(\max)})^r)$. Thus the computation is done in

$$O \left((t^{(\max)})^4 (d^{(\max)})^{4r} \right) \quad (3.2.8)$$

arithmetic operations over $K \subset S$. Hence the complexity in this step is estimated as

$$O\left(\left(t^{(\max)}(d^{(\max)})^r\right)^4\right) \quad (3.2.9)$$

arithmetic operations over $K \subset S$.

By putting all the steps together, i.e., considering (3.2.2)-(3.2.9), Proposition 3.2.1 holds. $\square$

By Proposition 3.2.1 together with [18, Corollary 3.5.2], we can determine the complexity of Step B of Algorithm (I) in Subsection 3.1 over the ground field K .

Corollary 3.2.2. *The notations are same as in Proposition 3.2.1. Let α be the maximum of the number of the terms of the entries of C_{r-q} and A_i for $r-q \leq i \leq r-q+1$. The arithmetic complexity of Step B of Algorithm (I) over K (not counting the computation of bases of $H^r(\mathbf{P}^r, \mathcal{G}_i)$ for $r-q-1 \leq i \leq r-q+1$) is*

$$O\left(\left(t^{(\max)}(d^{(\max)})^r\right)^4 + \alpha^2 \left(t^{(\max)}(d^{(\max)})^r\right)^2 \log(p)\right). \quad (3.2.10)$$

The value

$$D := \max\{\dim_K H^r(\mathbf{P}^r, \mathcal{G}_i) : r-q-1 \leq i \leq r-q+1\} \quad (3.2.11)$$

is also appropriate as an asymptotic parameter to estimate the complexity of Step B in Algorithm (I). We describe in the following the reason why the parameter D is suitable. Recall that we have

$$\dim_K H^r(\mathbf{P}^r, \mathcal{G}_i) = \sum_{j=1}^{t_i} \binom{d_j^{(i)}}{r} \text{ for } r-q-1 \leq i \leq r-q+1 \quad (3.2.12)$$

and thus $\dim_K H^r(\mathbf{P}^r, \mathcal{G}_i) = O(t^{(\max)}(d^{(\max)})^r)$. The values t_i and $d_j^{(i)}$ are uniquely determined for the input module S/I since the form of the minimal resolution of S/I is uniquely determined up to isomorphism of minimal resolutions. Thus each value (3.2.12) is also uniquely determined by S/I . From this, we can take D as an asymptotic parameter to estimate Step B of Algorithm (I). In a similar way to Corollary 3.2.2, the arithmetic complexity of Step B of Algorithm (I) with respect to D over K is estimated as follows.

Corollary 3.2.3. *The notations are same as in Proposition 3.2.1 and Corollary 3.2.2. We fix r and set $D := \max\{\dim_K H^r(\mathbf{P}^r, \mathcal{G}_i) : r-q-1 \leq i \leq r-q+1\}$ as in (3.2.11). Then the arithmetic complexity of Step B of Algorithm (I) in Subsection 3.1 over K is*

$$O(D^4 + \alpha^2 D^2 \log(p)), \quad (3.2.13)$$

where α is same as in Corollary 3.2.2.

In addition, we can give the binary complexity of Step B of Algorithm (I) for $K = \mathbb{F}_p$, where p is a rational prime.

Corollary 3.2.4. *The notations are same as in Proposition 3.2.1, Corollary 3.2.2 and Corollary 3.2.3. Let p be a rational prime and K the finite field of p elements, say $K = \mathbb{F}_p$. We fix r , and assume that the computation in $\mathbb{F}_p$ is done in $O((\log(p))^3)$ bit operations. Then the binary complexity of Step B of Algorithm (I) in Subsection 3.1 is*

$$O(D^4 (\log(p))^3 + \alpha^2 D^2 (\log(p))^4), \quad (3.2.14)$$

where α is same as in Corollary 3.2.2.

3.3 Comparison with conventional computations over affine hypersurfaces

We briefly compare our Algorithm (I) in Section 3.1 with conventional computations over affine hypersurfaces, specifically hyperelliptic curves in $\mathbf{P}^2$. In this case, the input variety of our algorithm is given as a *projective* model defined by homogeneous polynomials in $S = K[x_0, \dots, x_r]$ for some r whereas that of the conventional algorithms in [2], [14], [17], [22] and [26] is given as an *affine* model of the form $y^2 - g(x) = 0$ defined by *one* polynomial $y^2 - g(x) \in K[x, y]$ not necessary to be homogeneous. For a comparison, we here assume that the input variety of our algorithm is given as the locus of the zeros of one homogeneous polynomial $f \in K[X, Y, Z]$, and that its dehomogenization is of the form $y^2 - g(x)$ for some $g \in K[x, y]$. Let $X = V(f)$ be the hypersurface in $\mathbf{P}^2$ defined by $f = 0$. It is straightforward that the output of our algorithm with an input f coincides with that of the conventional algorithms with an input $y^2 = g(x)$. Thus one can choose one of the conventional algorithms with the input $y^2 = g(x)$ to compute the Frobenius on $H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$. In this sense, our algorithm is viewed as a generalization of the conventional computations. Moreover we interpret that the complexity of our algorithm is equivalent to that of the algorithms in [2], [14], [17], [22] and [26] for inputs as above.

4 Proof of Theorem 2: Algorithm for complete intersections

As in the previous sections, let K be a perfect field of characteristic p , and let $S = K[X_0, \dots, X_r]$ denote the polynomial ring of $r + 1$ indeterminates over K . Let $X \subset \mathbf{P}^r = \text{Proj}(S)$ be an algebraic variety of dimension $q = \dim(X)$.

In this section, we give a specific method to compute the representation matrix for the Frobenius $F^{*,q}$ with $q = \dim(X)$ when $X = V(f_1, \dots, f_t)$ is a *complete intersection* defined by an *S -regular* sequence $(f_1, \dots, f_t) \in S^t$. For this, we first prove that the representation matrix of $F^{*,q}$ for a suitable basis is given by coefficients in $(f_1 \cdots f_t)^{p-1}$. Specifically in the case of $q = 1$, this matrix is said to be the *Hasse-Witt matrix* of the curve X , which determines the superspecialty of X .

Remark 4.0.1. The author and Harashita already showed this method in [19, Appendix B]. The author here re-writes the method as a special case of Algorithm (I) in Section 3.1 of this paper.

First we collect some basic properties on regular sequences of modules.

4.1 Regular sequences of modules

Definition 4.1.1. Let R be a commutative ring with unity, and M an R -module. An ordered t -tuple $(x_1, \dots, x_t) \in R^t$ is said to be an *M -regular sequence* (or simply *M -regular*) if

- (1) $M/(x_1, \dots, x_t)M \neq 0$, and
- (2) For each $1 \leq i \leq t$, the element x_i is a *nonzerodivisor* in $M/(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1})M$, i.e., there is no $x \in M/(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1})M$ with $x \neq 0$ such that $x_i x = 0$.

Lemma 4.1.2. Let R be a local commutative ring with unity, and M an R -module. If $\langle x_1, \dots, x_t \rangle_R \subset R$ is a proper ideal containing an M -regular sequence of length t , then $(x_1, \dots, x_t)$ is an M -regular sequence.

Lemma 4.1.3. Let R be a commutative ring with unity, and M an R -module. If $(x_1, \dots, x_t) \in R^t$ is M -regular, then $(x_1^n, \dots, x_t^n)$ is M -regular for any $n > 0$.

Proof. We show the statement by the induction on t . Consider the case of $t = 1$. Let $f \in R$ be a polynomial such that $M \neq fM$ and f is a nonzerodivisor in M . Obviously we have $M \neq f^n M$. Assume $f^n x = 0$ in M for some $x \in M$. Since f is a nonzerodivisor, it follows that $f^{n-1}x$ equals $0 \in M$, and recursively $x = 0$.

Consider the case of $t > 1$. Since $(f_1^n, \dots, f_t^n)M \subset (f_1, \dots, f_t)M$, we have $(f_1^n, \dots, f_t^n)M \neq M$. Here it suffices to show that f_t is a nonzerodivisor in $M/(f_1^n, \dots, f_{t-1}^n)M$. Indeed, if f_t is a nonzerodivisor in $M/(f_1^n, \dots, f_{t-1}^n)M$ and if $f_t^n x = 0$ in $M/(f_1^n, \dots, f_{t-1}^n)M$ for some $x \in M$, then $f_t^{n-1}x = 0$ in $M/(f_1^n, \dots, f_{t-1}^n)M$, and recursively $x = 0$ in $M/(f_1^n, \dots, f_{t-1}^n)M$. Let P be a prime ideal of R with $\text{Ann}(M) \subset P$. We consider the localization

$$(M/(f_1^n, \dots, f_{t-1}^n)M)_P \simeq M_P/(f_1^n, \dots, f_{t-1}^n)M_P \quad (\text{as an } R_P\text{-module})$$

at P . Note that if f_t is a nonzerodivisor in $M_P/(f_1^n, \dots, f_{t-1}^n)M_P$, then f_t is a nonzerodivisor in $M/(f_1^n, \dots, f_{t-1}^n)M$. If there exists $1 \leq i \leq t$ such that $f_i \notin P$, then the either $M_P = (f_1^n, \dots, f_{t-1}^n)M_P$ or $f_t \in (R_P)^\times$, and thus the result holds. From this, we may assume that R is a local ring and that its maximal ideal contains f_i for all $1 \leq i \leq t$. The condition that $(f_1, \dots, f_t)$ is M -regular implies that $(f_1, \dots, f_{t-1}, f_t^n)$ is M -regular. Applying Lemma 4.1.2, it is concluded that $(f_t^n, f_1, \dots, f_{t-1})$ is an M -regular sequence. Consequently, repeating the argument, $(f_1^n, \dots, f_t^n)$ is an M -regular sequence. $\square$

We next define the Koszul complex of *graded* free S -modules.

Definition 4.1.4 (Koszul complex of graded free modules). For homogeneous polynomials $f_1, \dots, f_t \in S \setminus \{0\}$ and an index i , we define the following graded free S -module of rank $\binom{t}{i}$:

$$K_i(f_1, \dots, f_t)_{\text{grd}} := \bigoplus_{1 \leq j_1 < \dots < j_i \leq t} S(-d_{j_1 \dots j_i}) \mathbf{e}_{j_1 \dots j_i},$$

where we set $d_{j_1 \dots j_i} := \sum_{k=1}^i \deg(f_{j_k})$. We define the graded homomorphism $\varphi_i : K_i(f_1, \dots, f_t)_{\text{grd}} \longrightarrow K_{i-1}(f_1, \dots, f_t)_{\text{grd}}$ of degree zero by putting

$$\varphi_i(\mathbf{e}_{j_1 \dots j_i}) := \sum_{k=1}^i (-1)^{k-1} f_{j_k} \mathbf{e}_{j_1 \dots \hat{j}_k \dots j_i}.$$

The sequence $K(f_1, \dots, f_t)_{\text{grd}} := (K_i(f_1, \dots, f_t)_{\text{grd}}, \varphi_i)_i$ is a chain complex of graded free S -modules. We call this complex the *graded Koszul complex defined by $(f_1, \dots, f_t)$* . It is straightforward that $K(f_1, \dots, f_t)_{\text{grd}}$ is exact if $(f_1, \dots, f_t)$ is S -regular.

Lemma 4.1.5. *With notation as above, let $(f_1, \dots, f_t) \in S^t$ be an S -regular sequence with $\gcd(f_i, f_j) = 1$ for $i \neq j$. To simplify the notation, we put*

$$M_i := K_i(f_1, \dots, f_t)_{\text{grd}}, \quad \text{and } I := \langle f_1, \dots, f_t \rangle_S, \\ M_i^{(n)} := K_i(f_1^n, \dots, f_t^n)_{\text{grd}}, \quad \text{and } I_n := \langle f_1^n, \dots, f_t^n \rangle_S.$$

We denote by $\varphi_i^{(n)}$ the i -th differential of the complex $M_i^{(n)} = K(f_1^n, \dots, f_t^n)_{\text{grd}}$. We also define a graded homomorphism $\psi_i : K_i(f_1^n, \dots, f_t^n)_{\text{grd}} \longrightarrow K_i(f_1, \dots, f_t)_{\text{grd}}$ of degree zero as follows:

$$\psi_i(\mathbf{e}_{j_1 \dots j_i}) := (f_{j_1} \cdots f_{j_i})^{n-1} \mathbf{e}_{j_1 \dots j_i}.$$

The following diagram of homomorphisms of graded S -modules commutes, and each horizontal sequence is exact:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccccc}
0 & \xrightarrow{\varphi_{t+1}^{(n)}} & M_t^{(n)} & \xrightarrow{\varphi_t^{(n)}} & \cdots & \xrightarrow{\varphi_2^{(n)}} & M_1^{(n)} & \xrightarrow{\varphi_1^{(n)}} & M_0^{(n)} = S & \xrightarrow{\varphi_0^{(n)}} & M_{-1}^{(n)} := S/I_n \longrightarrow 0 \\
& & \downarrow \psi_t & & & & \downarrow \psi_1 & & \downarrow \psi_0 & & \downarrow \psi \\
0 & \xrightarrow{\varphi_{t+1}^{(1)}} & M_t & \xrightarrow{\varphi_t^{(1)}} & \cdots & \xrightarrow{\varphi_2^{(1)}} & M_1 & \xrightarrow{\varphi_1^{(1)}} & M_0 = S & \xrightarrow{\varphi_0^{(1)}} & M_{-1} := S/I \longrightarrow 0
\end{array}$$

where ψ_0 is the identity map on S , and ψ is the homomorphism defined by $h + I_n \mapsto h + I$.

Proof. By our assumption together with Lemma 4.1.3, the sequence $(f_1^n, \dots, f_t^n)$ is S -regular, and hence the complex $K(f_1^n, \dots, f_t^n)_{\text{grd}} = (M_i^{(n)}, \varphi_i^{(n)})_i$ is exact. We show that the diagram commutes. For a basis element $\mathbf{e}_{j_1 \dots j_{i+1}} \in M_{i+1}^{(n)}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(\psi_i \circ \varphi_{i+1}^{(n)}) (\mathbf{e}_{j_1 \dots j_{i+1}}) &= \psi_i \left(\sum_{k=1}^{i+1} (-1)^{k-1} f_{j_k}^n \mathbf{e}_{j_1 \dots \hat{j}_k \dots j_{i+1}} \right) \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^{i+1} (-1)^{k-1} f_{j_k}^n \psi_i (\mathbf{e}_{j_1 \dots \hat{j}_k \dots j_{i+1}}) \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^{i+1} (-1)^{k-1} f_{j_k}^n (f_{j_1} \cdots f_{j_{k-1}} f_{j_{k+1}} \cdots f_{j_{i+1}})^{n-1} \mathbf{e}_{j_1 \dots \hat{j}_k \dots j_{i+1}} \\
&= (f_{j_1} \cdots f_{j_{i+1}})^{n-1} \sum_{k=1}^{i+1} (-1)^{k-1} f_{j_k} \mathbf{e}_{j_1 \dots \hat{j}_k \dots j_{i+1}},
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
(\varphi_{i+1}^{(1)} \circ \psi_{i+1}) (\mathbf{e}_{j_1 \dots j_{i+1}}) &= \varphi_{i+1}^{(1)} ((f_{j_1} \cdots f_{j_{i+1}})^{n-1} \mathbf{e}_{j_1 \dots j_{i+1}}) \\
&= (f_{j_1} \cdots f_{j_{i+1}})^{n-1} \varphi_{i+1}^{(1)} (\mathbf{e}_{j_1 \dots j_{i+1}}) \\
&= (f_{j_1} \cdots f_{j_{i+1}})^{n-1} \sum_{k=1}^{i+1} (-1)^{k-1} f_{j_k} \mathbf{e}_{j_1 \dots \hat{j}_k \dots j_{i+1}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence we have $\psi_i \circ \varphi_{i+1}^{(n)} = \varphi_{i+1}^{(1)} \circ \psi_{i+1}$. □

4.2 The Frobenius action for complete intersections

Here we give a specific method for computing the Frobenius $F^{*,q} : H^q(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \longrightarrow H^q(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ with $q = \dim(X)$ when X is a complete intersection.

Proposition 4.2.1. *Let K be a perfect field with $\text{char}(K) = p > 0$. Let $f_1, \dots, f_t$ be homogeneous polynomials with $d_{j_1 \dots j_{t-1}} \leq r$ for all $1 \leq j_1 < \dots < j_{t-1} \leq t$ such that $\gcd(f_i, f_j) = 1$ in $S := K[X_0, \dots, X_r]$ for $i \neq j$. Suppose that the sequence $(f_1, \dots, f_t)$ is S -regular. Let $X = V(f_1, \dots, f_t)$ be the variety defined by the equations $f_1 = 0, \dots, f_t = 0$ in $\mathbf{P}^r = \text{Proj}(S)$, and $q := \dim(X) = r - t$. Write $(f_1 \cdots f_t)^{p-1} = \sum c_{i_0, \dots, i_r} X_0^{i_0} \cdots X_r^{i_r}$ and*

$$\{(k_0, \dots, k_r) \in (\mathbb{Z}_{<0})^{r+1} : \sum_{i=0}^r k_i = - \sum_{j=1}^t \deg(f_j)\} = \{(k_0^{(1)}, \dots, k_r^{(1)}), \dots, (k_0^{(g)}, \dots, k_r^{(g)})\},$$

where we note that $g = \dim_K H^q(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$. Then the representation matrix of the Frobenius F^* is given by

$$\begin{bmatrix} c_{-k_0^{(1)}p+k_0^{(1)}, \dots, -k_r^{(1)}p+k_r^{(1)}} & \cdots & c_{-k_0^{(g)}p+k_0^{(1)}, \dots, -k_r^{(g)}p+k_r^{(1)}} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ c_{-k_0^{(1)}p+k_0^{(g)}, \dots, -k_r^{(1)}p+k_r^{(g)}} & \cdots & c_{-k_0^{(g)}p+k_0^{(g)}, \dots, -k_r^{(g)}p+k_r^{(g)}} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Proof. We use the same notation as in Lemma 4.1.5, and take $n = p$. Put $\varphi_i := \varphi_i^{(1)}$ and

$$\Phi_i := \tilde{\varphi}_i, \quad \Phi_i^{(p)} := \widetilde{\varphi_i^{(p)}}, \quad \Psi := \tilde{\psi}, \quad \text{and} \quad \Psi_i := \tilde{\psi}_i. \quad (4.2.1)$$

By Lemma 4.1.5, the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} H^q(X, \mathcal{O}_X) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & H^{q+1}(\mathbf{P}^r, \tilde{I}) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \text{Ker}(H^r(\Phi_{r-q})) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & H^r(\mathbf{P}^r, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^r}(-\sum_{j=1}^t d_j)) \\ \downarrow (F_1|_{X^p})^{*,q} & & \downarrow F_1^{*,q} & & \downarrow \text{power } p & & \downarrow \text{power } p \\ F^{*,q} \left(\begin{array}{c} H^q(X^p, \mathcal{O}_{X^p}) \xrightarrow{\cong} H^{q+1}(\mathbf{P}^r, \tilde{I}_p) \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{Ker}(H^r(\Phi_{r-q}^{(p)})) \longrightarrow H^r(\mathbf{P}^r, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^r}(-\sum_{j=1}^t d_j p)) \\ \downarrow H^q(\Psi) \end{array} \right. & & \downarrow H^{q+1}(\Psi_0) & & \downarrow H^r(\Psi_{r-q}) & & \downarrow (f_1 \cdots f_t)^{p-1} \\ & & H^q(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \xrightarrow{\cong} H^{q+1}(\mathbf{P}^r, \tilde{I}) \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{Ker}(H^r(\Phi_{r-q})) \xrightarrow{\cong} H^r(\mathbf{P}^r, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^r}(-\sum_{j=1}^t d_j)) & & & & \end{array}$$

where F_1 (resp. F) is the Frobenius morphism on $\mathbf{P}^r$ (resp. X) and $X^p := V(f_1^p, \dots, f_t^p)$. The K -vector space $H^r(\mathbf{P}^r, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^r}(-\sum_{j=1}^t d_j))$ has a basis $\{X_0^{k_0} \cdots X_r^{k_r} : (k_0, \dots, k_r) \in (\mathbb{Z}_{<0})^{r+1}, \sum_{i=0}^r k_i = -\sum_{j=1}^t \deg(f_j)\}$. For each $(k_0^{(i)}, \dots, k_r^{(i)})$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (f_1 \cdots f_t)^{p-1} \cdot \left(X_0^{k_0^{(i)}} \cdots X_r^{k_r^{(i)}} \right)^p &= (f_1 \cdots f_t)^{p-1} \cdot X_0^{k_0^{(i)}p} \cdots X_r^{k_r^{(i)}p} \\ &= \sum c_{i_0, \dots, i_r} X_0^{i_0+k_0^{(i)}p} \cdots X_r^{i_r+k_r^{(i)}p} \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^g c_{-k_0^{(i)}p+k_0^{(j)}, \dots, -k_r^{(i)}p+k_r^{(j)}} X_0^{k_0^{(j)}} \cdots X_r^{k_r^{(j)}}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence our claim holds. $\square$

4.3 Algorithm and Complexity

Proposition 4.2.1 gives a simplification of Algorithm (I) in Section 3.1 if the input $(f_1, \dots, f_t)$ is S -regular and if $q = \dim(X) = r - t$: To compute $F^{*,q}$, we not necessarily compute any free resolution, but only $(f_1 \cdots f_t)^{p-1}$. Moreover this method is viewed as a generalization of a standard method to compute $F^{*,1}$ for elliptic curves, see Section 1 or [13, Chapter IV]. Here we write down an algorithm for complete intersections:

Algorithm (II) (algorithm for complete intersections) Let $f_1, \dots, f_t$ be homogeneous polynomials in $S = K[x_0, \dots, x_r]$ with $d_{j_1 \dots j_{t-1}} := \sum_{k=1}^{t-1} \deg(f_{j_k}) \leq r$ for all $1 \leq j_1 < \dots < j_{t-1} \leq t$ such that $\gcd(f_i, f_j) = 1$ in $S := K[X_0, \dots, X_r]$ for $i \neq j$. Given $f_1, \dots, f_t$ such that $(f_1, \dots, f_t)$

is S -regular, a rational prime p and an integer $q = r - t = \dim(X)$, we give an algorithm to compute the representation matrix for the action of Frobenius to $H^q(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$, where $X = V(f_1, \dots, f_t)$. Write $(f_1 \cdots f_t)^{p-1} = \sum c_{i_0, \dots, i_r} X_0^{i_0} \cdots X_r^{i_r}$ and

$$\{(k_0, \dots, k_r) \in (\mathbb{Z}_{<0})^{r+1} : \sum_{i=0}^r k_i = -\sum_{j=1}^t \deg(f_j)\} = \{(k_0^{(1)}, \dots, k_r^{(1)}), \dots, (k_0^{(g)}, \dots, k_r^{(g)})\},$$

where we set $g = \dim_K H^q(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$.

(1) Compute the coefficients $c_{-k_0^{(i)}p+k_0^{(j)}, \dots, -k_r^{(i)}p+k_r^{(j)}}$ for $1 \leq i, j \leq g$ in $(f_1 \cdots f_t)^{p-1}$.

(2) Output

$$\begin{bmatrix} c_{-k_0^{(1)}p+k_0^{(1)}, \dots, -k_r^{(1)}p+k_r^{(1)}} & \cdots & c_{-k_0^{(g)}p+k_0^{(1)}, \dots, -k_r^{(g)}p+k_r^{(1)}} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ c_{-k_0^{(1)}p+k_0^{(g)}, \dots, -k_r^{(1)}p+k_r^{(g)}} & \cdots & c_{-k_0^{(g)}p+k_0^{(g)}, \dots, -k_r^{(g)}p+k_r^{(g)}} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Complexity The complexity heavily depends on one's choice of algorithms for computing the multiplication and the power computation over the multivariate polynomial ring $K[X_0, \dots, X_r]$; for a fixed r , the complexity can be bounded in polynomial time with respect to $\max_{1 \leq j \leq t} (\deg(f_j))$ and p , see e.g., [15, Theorem 3.1].

5 Examples and Experimental results

This section shows computational examples and experimental results obtained by our implementation over Magma [1], [4].

5.1 Examples

Example 5.1.1. Let K be a perfect field of characteristic $p > 2$. Put

$$\begin{aligned} f &:= 5vz - 2wx - 3wy + wz, \\ g &:= 10v^2 + 5wv - 5w^2 + 4x^2 - 12xy + 2xy - 2y^2 - 35yz - 12z^2, \\ h &:= 15v^2 - 5wv + 5w^2 + 8x^2 - 12xy - 14xz - 11y^2 - 3yz + 15z^2, \end{aligned}$$

and $C := V(f, g, h) \subset \mathbf{P}^4$. The curve C is the (classical) modular curve of level 67, say $C = X_0(67)$. For defining equations for modular curves, see e.g., [10]. In the following, we compute the representation matrix for the Frobenius action to $H^1(C, \mathcal{O}_C)$ for the case of $p = 3$. In this case, we have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccccc} 0 & \xrightarrow{\varphi_4^{(p)}} & S(-6p) & \xrightarrow{\varphi_3^{(p)}} & \bigoplus_{j=1}^3 S(-4p) & \xrightarrow{\varphi_2^{(p)}} & \bigoplus_{j=1}^3 S(-2p) & \xrightarrow{\varphi_1^{(p)}} & S & \xrightarrow{\varphi_0^{(p)}} & S/I_p \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow \psi_3 & & \downarrow \psi_2 & & \downarrow \psi_1 & & \downarrow \psi_0 & & \downarrow \psi \\ 0 & \xrightarrow{\varphi_4} & S(-6) & \xrightarrow{\varphi_3} & \bigoplus_{j=1}^3 S(-4) & \xrightarrow{\varphi_2} & \bigoplus_{j=1}^3 S(-2) & \xrightarrow{\varphi_1} & S & \xrightarrow{\varphi_0} & S/I \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

For the representation matrices of the above homomorphisms, see the text files on the web page of the author [27]. The 1st cohomology group $H^1(C, \mathcal{O}_C)$ has a basis

$$\left\{ \frac{1}{x^2 y z v w}, \frac{1}{x y^2 z v w}, \frac{1}{x y z^2 v w}, \frac{1}{x y z v^2 w}, \frac{1}{x y z v w^2} \right\},$$

which indicates that C is a curve of genus 5. From the output of our program, the representation matrix of F^* is

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

and its rank is equal to 3. The Eigen polynomial is $a^5 + a^4 + a^3$, where a is an indeterminate.

Remark 5.1.2. In Example 5.1.1, the tuple (f, g, h) is S -regular with $\deg(f) = \deg(g) = \deg(h) = 2$. Thus we can apply a method proposed in [19, Appendix B].

Example 5.1.3. Let K be a perfect field of characteristic $p > 0$. Put

$$\begin{aligned} f_1 &:= Y^2 + (-X_3 - X_1 - X_0)Y + 2X_3X_2 + 3X_1^2 - 2X_1X_0 + 2X_0^2, \\ f_2 &:= X_1^2 - X_0X_2, \quad f_3 := X_2^2 - X_1X_3, \quad f_4 := X_3X_0 - X_2X_1, \end{aligned}$$

and $C := V(f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4) \subset \mathbf{P}^4$. The curve C is a normalization of the modular curve $X_0(23)$, which is a hyperelliptic curve of genus 2 given as an affine model in [3]. For a method of the normalization of hyperelliptic curves, see [11, Chapter 10]. In what follows, we compute the representation matrix for the Frobenius action to $H^1(C, \mathcal{O}_C)$ for the case of $p = 5$. By a similar way to Example 5.1.1, we can compute a basis of $H^1(C, \mathcal{O}_C)$. (For more information of the computation, see the text files on the web page of the author [27].) The output basis of $H^1(C, \mathcal{O}_C)$ is

$$\left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \frac{1}{X_0X_1X_2X_3Y} & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{X_0X_1X_2X_3Y} & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\},$$

which indicates that C is a curve of genus 2. From the output of our program, the representation matrix of F^* is

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 3 \\ 3 & 3 \end{bmatrix},$$

and it has full-rank. The Eigen polynomial is $a^2 + 2a + 1$, where a is an indeterminate.

5.2 Experimental results

To confirm practical time performance of our algorithm, we compute the representation matrix for the Frobenius action $F^{*,1}$ to the 1st cohomology group of $X_0(23)$ for $3 \leq p \leq 17$. Table 1 shows our experimental results for $X_0(23)$ of Example 5.1.3. We use the same notation as in Section 3.

Table 1: Experimental results to examine time performance on our algorithm for $X_0(23)$ in $\mathbf{P}^4$

p	α	D	Rank of $F^{*,1}$	Eigen polynomial	Time for Step A	Time for Step B
3	221	7	2	$a^2 + 1$	0.040	0.010
5	2975	7	2	$a^2 + 2a + 1$	0.315	0.015
7	13720	7	2	$a^2 + 5a + 3$	1.680	0.052
11	104891	7	2	$a^2 + 6a + 4$	11.044	0.360
13	215664	7	2	$a^2 + 7a + 9$	24.186	0.761
17	676146	7	2	$a^2 + 11a + 4$	84.482	2.664

Observation

Time performance: Recall from Corollary 3.2.4 that the binary complexity of Step B of Algorithm (I) is estimated as

$$O(D^4(\log(p))^3 + \alpha^2 D^2(\log(p))^4). \quad (5.2.1)$$

In the cases of our experiments, $D = 7$ is fixed. We here examine that time performance is better than the estimated upper bound $O(\alpha^2(\log(p))^4)$. For $(p_1, \alpha_1) = (11, 104891)$ and $(p_2, \alpha_2) = (17, 676146)$, we calculate the ratio $\alpha_2^2(\log(p_2))^4 / \alpha_1^2(\log(p_1))^4$. One gets this value ≈ 131.33 . From experimental results in Table 1, the ratio of time for Step B is ≈ 7.40 , which is smaller than 131.33. We can say the same for the other cases, e.g., $(p_3, \alpha_3) = (7, 13720)$ and $(p_4, \alpha_4) = (13, 215664)$. From this, we observe that time performance of Step B is better than $O(\alpha^2(\log(p))^4)$ for this computational example.

Correctness: In [3], an affine model of $X_0(23)$ is given as

$$y^2 + (-x^3 - x - 1)y = -2x^5 - 3x^2 + 2x - 2,$$

and its genus is 2. With Yui's method [26], one can calculate the rank and the Eigen polynomial of $F^{*,1}$, which coincide with those in Table 1.

Value of α : For large p , we see that α takes an extremely large value. However, rather than p , the value α depends on lifting morphisms computed in Step (A-2). This means that a computational method adopted in Step (A-2) deeply affects total time performance and memory usage. Thus, if one computes lifting morphisms such that α is small, Algorithm (I) may perform more efficiently, and save memory usage.

6 Concluding remarks

In this paper, we proposed an explicit algorithm to compute the representation matrix for the Frobenius action $F^{*,q}$ to the cohomology groups of *arbitrary* varieties with defining equations in $\mathbf{P}^r$. Under some assumptions, our algorithm was proved to be terminated in polynomial time with respect to three asymptotic parameters: The first is the characteristic p , and the second is a certain mathematical invariant D for the input variety. The third is α , which depends on lifting homomorphisms computed in a subroutine of our algorithm. To confirm efficiency and

the correctness, we also demonstrated some computational examples, one of which is $X_0(23)$, the (classical) modular curve of level 23. Our computational results coincide with theoretical results computed by Yui's method [26] for defining equations as affine models [3]. This implies that our implementation over Magma returns in practical theoretically correct values. Experimental results also suggest that time performance of our algorithm is better than an estimated upper bound. From the experimental results together with our complexity analysis, we conclude that our algorithm provides a useful computational tool to investigate algebraic varieties and related invariants, which is expected to bring further theoretical/computational results in mathematics.

Our algorithm, however, performs efficiently under the assumption that one can get free resolutions and lifting homomorphisms efficiently. Moreover, the value α , which is determined by lifting homomorphisms, deeply affects time performance and memory usage. Hence, we need to improve the computation of free resolutions and lifting homomorphisms, and find a new method to get lifting homomorphisms such that α takes a small value. It is our future work.

References

- [1] Bosma, W., Cannon, J. and Playoust, C.: *The Magma algebra system. I. The user language*, Journal of Symbolic Computation **24**, 235–265 (1997)
- [2] Bostan, A., Gaudry, P. and Schost, É.: *Linear recurrences with polynomial coefficients and computation of the Cartier-Manin operator on hyperelliptic curves*, LNCS **2948**, pp. 40–58, Springer-Verlag (2004)
- [3] Bruin, P. and Najman, F.: *Hyperelliptic modular curves $X_0(n)$ and isogenies of elliptic curves of quadratic fields*, LMS J. Compute. Math., **18** (1), 578–602 (2015)
- [4] Cannon, J., et al.: *Magma A Computer Algebra System*, School of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Sydney, 2016. <http://magma.maths.usyd.edu.au/magma/>
- [5] Cox, D., Little, J. and O'shea, D.: *Using Algebraic Geometry*, GTM **185**, Springer-Verlag, New York – Berlin (1998)
- [6] Decker, W. and Lossen, C.: *Computing in Algebraic Geometry, A Quick Start using SINGULAR*, ACM **16**, Springer (2000)
- [7] Eisenbud, D.: *Commutative Algebra: With a View Toward Algebraic Geometry*, GTM **150**, Springer (1995)
- [8] Eisenbud, D.: *The Geometry of Syzygies - A Second Course in Algebraic Geometry and Commutative Algebra -*, GTM **229**, Springer (2005)
- [9] Eisenbud, D.: Chapter 8: Computing cohomology, pp. 219–226, In: Vasconcelos (1998)
- [10] Galbraith, S. D.: *Equations for modular curves*, Doctoral Thesis, Oxford University (1996)
- [11] Galbraith, S. D.: *Mathematics in Public Key Cryptography*, Cambridge University Press (2012)
- [12] González, J.: *Hasse-Witt matrices for the Fermat curves of prime degree*, Tohoku Math. J. (2) **49** (1997), no. 2, pp. 149–163. MR 1447179 (98b:11064)

- [13] Hartshorne, R.: *Algebraic Geometry*, GTM **52**, Springer-Verlag (1977)
- [14] Harvey, D. and Sutherland, A. V.: *Computing Hasse-Witt matrices of hyperelliptic curves in average polynomial time*, LMS Journal of Computation and Mathematics, **17**, pp. 257–273 (2014)
- [15] Horowitz, E.: *The Efficient Calculation of Powers of Polynomials*, Journal of Computer and System Science, **7**, pp. 469–480 (1973)
- [16] Katzman, M. and Schwede, K.: *An algorithm for computing compatibly Frobenius split subvarieties*, Journal of Symbolic Computation **47** (8), 996–1008 (2012)
- [17] Komoto, H., Kozaki, S. and Matsuo, K.: *Improvements in the computation of the Hasse-Witt matrix*, JSIAM Letters Vol. **2** (2010). pp. 17–20 (2010)
- [18] Kudo, M.: *Analysis of an algorithm to compute the cohomology groups of coherent sheaves and its applications*, Japan Journal of Industrial and Applied Mathematics, Vol. **31**, No. 1, pp. 1–40, 2017.
- [19] Kudo, M. and Harashita, S.: *Superspecial curves of genus 4 over prime field*, Finite Fields and Their Applications, **45**, 131–169, 2017 (preprint version: arXiv: 1607.01114 [math.AG])
- [20] Kudo, M. and Harashita, S.: *Enumerating superspecial curves of genus 4 over prime fields*, arXiv: 1702.05313 [math.AG] (2017)
- [21] Kunz, E.: *Characterization of regular local rings of characteristic p* , American Journal of Mathematics, **41**, pp. 772–784 (1969)
- [22] Manin, J. I.: *The Hasse-Witt matrix of an algebraic curve*, AMS Translations, Series 2 **45** (1965), pp. 245–264, (originally published in Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. **25** (1961) 153–172). MR 0124324 (23 #A1638)
- [23] Miler, C.: *The Frobenius endomorphism and homological dimensions*, arXiv, math. AC: 0301208v3 (2003)
- [24] Smith, G. G.: *Computing Global Extension Module*, Journal of Symbolic Computation, **29**, pp. 729–746 (2000)
- [25] Vasconcelos, W.: *Computational Methods in Commutative Algebra and Algebraic Geometry*, Algorithms and Computation in Mathematics, **2**, Springer (1998)
- [26] Yui, N.: *On the Jacobian varieties of hyperelliptic curves over fields of characteristic $p > 2$* . Journal of algebra **52**, 378–410 (1978)
- [27] Computation programs and log files for the paper “Computing representation matrices for the action of Frobenius to cohomology groups”, available on the web page <http://www2.math.kyushu-u.ac.jp/~m-kudo/compFrobenius.html>